arXiv:1702.07237v1 [math.PR] 23 Feb 2017

Duality functions and stationary product measures

Frank Redig and Federico Sau
Delft Institute of Applied Mathematics
Delft University of Technology
Mekelweg 4, 2628 CD Delft
The Netherlands

February 24, 2017

Abstract

We investigate a general relation between stationary product measures and factorized (self-)duality functions. This yields a constructive approach to find (self-)duality functions from the stationary product measures. We introduce a new generating function approach which simplifies the search for (self-)duality functions. Using these methods, we find all (self-)duality functions for zero range processes, as well as for symmetric inclusion and exclusion processes. Moreover, we find new (self-)dualities for interacting diffusion processes such as the Brownian energy process, where both the process and its dual are in continuous variables.

1 Introduction

Duality and self-duality are very useful and powerful properties that allow to analyze a complicated system in terms of a simpler one; in case of self-duality, the dual system is the same and the simplification arises because in the dual we have to consider less particles.

Several methods are available to find dual processes. In the context of population dynamics, the starting point is always to consider the backward process (coalescent); in the context of particle systems, the algebraic method developed in [6] offers a framework to construct dual processes via symmetries of the generator.

In the current paper, starting from simple observations in the interacting particle systems with self-duality, we analyze in more details the relation between the stationary measures and the (self-)duality functions. This gives a general result, allowing to constructively look for (self-)duality functions, when the stationary product measures are given. To find these measures is usually an easy task (via detailed balance, for instance), and therefore it is useful to have a one-to-one correspondence between them and the only possible candidate (self-)duality function - within a certain class of functions. Within this realm, we also prove that the only processes with self-duality are independent random walkers, symmetric inclusion and symmetric exclusion. We give also an abstract result, showing that minimal requirements on a stationary measure together with the existence of factorized self-duality functions imply that this stationary measure is actually a product measure. This shows an intimate link between systems with product invariant measures and systems with self-duality properties.

Next, we develop a new approach via generating functions (inspired by a method from [4]) to find (self-)duality functions, from which we can easily and by explicit computation recover all known dualities and obtain some new ones, including e.g. a self-duality of the Brownian energy process. The generating function method gives an equivalence between (self-)duality of a discrete system, duality of a discrete and a continuous system and self-duality of a continuous system. This applies, for instance, in the context of the symmetric inclusion process, where the corresponding continuous system turns out to be the Brownian energy process. This equivalence between different types of (self-)dualities permits to choose the simplest one from the list, and then automatically obtain all the others. This is illustrated both in the context of independent random walkers, where self-duality with Charlier polynomials becomes very easy on the level of the corresponding continuum process, as well as for the symmetric inclusion process where self-duality with discrete Meixner polynomials follows from a simple identity on the level of the continuum processes. As a consequence, we obtain new results such as self-duality with (well-normalized) orthogonal polynomials for independent random walkers, SIP and SEP, which is not so easy to recover by explicit computations [5] or via the algebraic method [6].

2 Setting

We work either in the context of interacting particle systems on a discrete set V, such as independent random walkers, symmetric inclusion process and symmetric exclusion process, i.e. processes $\{\eta(t),\ t \geq 0\}$ with state space

$\Omega = E^V$ where $E = \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ or E is a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$, or in the context of interacting diffusion processes $\{\eta(t),\ t \geq 0\}$ such as the Brownian momentum process or the Brownian energy process, where $\Omega = E^V$ with $E = \mathbb{R}$ or $E = [0, \infty)$. The set V will be either a finite set or $V = \mathbb{Z}^d$.

We call *two processes* of this type, say $\{\xi(t),\ t \geq 0\}$ and $\{\eta(t),\ t \geq 0\}$, *dual* with duality function D if for all $t > 0$, ξ and η the duality relation

$$\mathbb{E}_\xi D(\xi(t), \eta) = \mathbb{E}_\eta D(\xi, \eta(t)) \tag{1}$$

holds. For the state space (resp. single vertex state space) of the process $\{\eta(t),\ t \geq 0\}$ we use systematically Ω (resp. E) and for $\{\xi(t),\ t \geq 0\}$ we use $\hat{\Omega}$ (resp. $\hat{E}$). If the laws of the two processes coincide, we speak about *self-duality*. More generally, we say that *two semigroups* $\{S(t),\ t \geq 0\}$ and $\{\hat{S}(t),\ t \geq 0\}$ are dual to each other with duality function D if

$$(\hat{S}(t))_{\text{left}} D = (S(t))_{\text{right}} D\ ,$$

where 'left' (resp. 'right') refers to action on the left (resp. right) variable. Even more generally, we say that *two operators* L and $\hat{L}$ are are dual to each other with duality function D if

$$(\hat{L})_{\text{left}} D = (L)_{\text{right}} D\ . \tag{2}$$

In all the examples that we will be discussing here, the duality functions factorize over sites, i.e.

$$D(\xi, \eta) = \prod_{i \in V} d_i(\xi_i, \eta_i) \tag{3}$$

We then call the d_i's the *singe-site (self-)duality functions*.

In order not to overload notation, we use the expression $A_{\text{left}} D(\xi, \eta)$ for $(AD(\cdot, \eta))(\xi)$ and, similarly, for an operator B, $B_{\text{right}} D(\xi, \eta) = (BD(\xi, \cdot))(\eta)$. We will also sometimes use $D(\xi, \eta)$ for the whole function, i.e. instead of writing $(\xi, \eta) \mapsto D(\xi, \eta)$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sections 3.2-3.3, we start by investigating, in the finite and infinite systems separately, the relation between the stationary product measures and the (self-)duality functions in four examples studied before [1] and briefly recalled in Section 3.1. In this analysis, we introduce the conditions on the dual process under which this relation can be obtained, and in Sections 3.4-3.5 we provide alternative conditions on the invariant measures to ensure the mentioned relation.

Section 4 is dedicated to show how to derive all duality functions from this relation, i.e. knowing the stationary measure and the first duality function,

one can find all of them via this relation. This method, presented in Section 4.1 and combined with a full characterization of all self-dual particle systems in the next section, provides the complete class of self-duality functions in Secion 4.3, with the emergence of orthogonal polynomials. This method is then extended in Section 4.4 when dealing with duality between discrete and continuous processes, in which Laplace transforms replace series expansions.

In Section 5.1, we introduce a generating function approach which establishes a clear connection between dualities in the discrete and in the continuous setting. Additionally, it gives alternative proofs of self-duality in the discrete context (cf. Theorem 5.2), simplifies considerably the computation of the explicit form of the (self-)duality functions (cf. Section 5.2) and leads to new dualities, such as self-duality for the Brownian energy process (cf. Section 5.3), where both the original and the dual processes are in continuous variables.

3 Relation between the stationary product measure and the duality functions

In the examples of duality that we have encountered so far (cf. [1], [6]), we have observed recurrently the following fact: if there is a dual process with factorized duality functions $D(\xi, \eta)$ as in (3) and stationary product measures ν_λ, then there is a relation between these functions and these measures, namely

$$\int D(\xi, \eta)\nu_\lambda(d\eta) = \theta(\lambda)^{|\xi|} \ . \tag{4}$$

3.1 Basic examples

We recall the basic examples. In what follows, $p : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a symmetric and irreducible transition function with $p(i, i) = 0$, i.e. for all $i, j \in V$

1. $p(i, j) = p(j, i)$,
2. there exist $i_1 = i, i_2, \ldots, i_m = j$ such that $\prod_{l=1}^{m-1} p(i_l, i_{l+1}) > 0$.

In case of infinite $V = \mathbb{Z}^d$, we further assume p to be finite-range, i.e.

3. there exists $R > 0$ such that, for all $i, j \in V$, $p(i, j) = 0$ if $|i - j| > R$,

and moreover we assume

4. $\sup_{i \in V} \sum_{j \in V} p(i, j) < \infty$.

We denote $\eta^{i,j}$ the configuration arising from η by removing one particle at i and putting it at j, i.e. $\eta^{i,j}(i) = \eta(i)-1$, $\eta^{i,j}(j) = \eta(j)+1$, while $\eta^{i,j}(l) = \eta(l)$ if $l \neq i, j$.

Self-duality for independent random walkers (IRW). The generator is given by

$$Lf(\eta) = \sum_{i,j} p(i,j)\eta_i(f(\eta^{i,j}) - f(\eta)) \tag{5}$$

We have self-duality with single-site self-duality functions $d_i(k,n) = d(k,n) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$ the reversible product measures have Poisson marginals $\nu_{i,\lambda}(n) = \frac{\lambda^n}{n!}e^{-\lambda}$, $\lambda > 0$. We have (4) with $\theta(\lambda) = \lambda$.

Self-duality for symmetric exclusion process (SEP($\boldsymbol{\gamma}$)). The generator is given by

$$Lf(\eta) = \sum_{i,j} p(i,j)\eta_i(\gamma_j - \eta_j)(f(\eta^{i,j}) - f(\eta)) \ , \tag{6}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \{\gamma_j,\ j \in V\}$ and $\gamma_j \in \mathbb{N}$. We have self-duality, with single-site self-duality function[1]

$$d_i(k,n) = \frac{\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{\gamma_i}{k}} \tag{7}$$

and reversible product measures with Binomial marginals $\nu_{i,\rho} = \mathrm{Bin}(\gamma_i, \rho)$ with $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$. We have (4) with $\theta(\rho) = \rho$.

Self-duality for symmetric inclusion process (SIP($\boldsymbol{\alpha}$)). The generator is given by

$$Lf(\eta) = \sum_{i,j} p(i,j)\eta_i(\alpha_j + \eta_j)(f(\eta^{i,j}) - f(\eta)) \ , \tag{8}$$

with $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \{\alpha_j,\ j \in V\}$ and $\alpha_j > 0$ the parameters of the process. We have self-duality, with single-site self-duality function

$$d_i(k,n) = \tfrac{n!}{(n-k)!}\tfrac{\Gamma(\alpha_i)}{\Gamma(\alpha_i+k)} \ . \tag{9}$$

The reversible product measures have discrete Gamma marginals $\nu_{i,\lambda}(n) = \frac{\lambda^n}{n!}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha_i+n)}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)}(1-\lambda)^{\alpha_i}$, with $0 < \lambda < 1$. We have (4) with $\theta(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}$.

[1]Here and in what follows we agree that negative factorials are ∞.

Duality between SIP($\boldsymbol{\alpha}$) and Brownian energy process (BEP($\boldsymbol{\alpha}$)). The state space of BEP($\boldsymbol{\alpha}$) is given by $[0,\infty)^V$. The generator of BEP($\boldsymbol{\alpha}$) is given by

$$Lf(\eta) = \sum_{i,j} p(i,j) \left(-(\alpha_j \eta_i - \alpha_i \eta_j)(\partial_i - \partial_j) + \eta_i \eta_j (\partial_i - \partial_j)^2 \right) f(\eta) \ , \quad (10)$$

where ∂_i denotes partial derivative w.r.t. η_i. This process is dual to SIP($\boldsymbol{\alpha}$) with single-site duality function given by

$$d_i(k,x) = \frac{x^k \Gamma(\alpha_i)}{\Gamma(\alpha_i + k)} \ ,$$

where now $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in [0,\infty)$. The marginals of the reversible product measures are Gamma distributions with scale parameter $\frac{1}{\lambda} > 0$ and shape parameter $\alpha_i > 0$, namely

$$\nu_{i,\lambda}(dx) = \frac{x^{\alpha_i - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \frac{e^{-\lambda x}}{\lambda^{-\alpha_i}} dx \ , \quad (11)$$

and (4) holds with $\theta(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$.

3.2 Finite case

We start with the simplest situation in which V is a finite set.

First, we assume that the total number of particles is the only conserved quantity, i.e. the process is an irreducible continuous-time. This implies the following property, which we refer to as *harmonic triviality* of the system:

[HT] If $H : E^V \to \mathbb{R}$ is harmonic, i.e. such that, for all $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}_\eta H(\eta(t)) = H(\eta) \ ,$$

then $H(\eta)$ is only a function of $|\eta| := \sum_{i \in V} \eta_i$.

Moreover, let us assume that the process is self-dual with factorized self-duality functions $D(\xi,\eta)$ as in (3). Of the single-site functions d_i we need the following properties:

[D1] For all $i \in V$, $d_i(0,\cdot) \equiv 1$.

[D2] For all $i \in V$, the functions d_i are *measure determining*, i.e. for two probability measures ν_1, ν_2 on E such that for all $\xi_i \in \hat{E}$

$$\int_E d_i(\xi_i,\eta_i)\nu_1(d\eta_i) = \int_E d_i(\xi_i,\eta_i)\nu_2(d\eta_i) < \infty \ ,$$

it follows that $\nu_1 = \nu_2$.

Remark 3.1. *Condition* [D1] *is related to the fact that we want the definition of duality extendibles to infinite systems, in which η is typically an infinite configuration while ξ is finite, so that there are an infinite number of factors $d_i(0, \eta_i)$. In this sense, the choice $d_i(0, \cdot) \equiv 1$ turns out to be the natural one for infinite systems.*

However, for finite systems with a reversible measure ν, the so-called cheap self-duality function $D(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{\nu(\xi)} \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi=\eta\}}$ *is an example of duality in which* [D1] *does not hold.*

Then we have the following.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that $\{\eta(t),\ t \geq 0\}$ and $\{\xi(t),\ t \geq 0\}$ are two processes with state space $\Omega = E^V$, resp. $\hat{\Omega} = \hat{E}^V$, dual with factorized duality function satisfying conditions* [D1] *and* [D2] *above. Moreover, assume that* [HT] *holds and that ν is a probability measure on Ω. We distinguish two cases:*

1. Interacting particle system case. *If $\hat{E}$ is a subset of $\mathbb{N}$, then we assume that the self-duality functions $D(\xi, \cdot)$ are ν-integrable for all $\xi \in \hat{\Omega}$.*

2. Interacting diffusion case. *If $\hat{E} = \mathbb{R}, [0, \infty)$, then we assume the following integrability condition: for each $\varepsilon \geq 0$, there exists a ν-integrable function f_ε such that*

$$\sup_{\xi:\ \sum_{i \in V} \xi_i = \varepsilon} |D(\xi, \eta)| \leq f_\varepsilon(\eta)\ ,\ \eta \in \Omega\ . \tag{12}$$

Then the following two statements are equivalent

(a) ν is an invariant product measure for the process $\{\eta(t) : t \geq 0\}$.

(b) For all $\xi \in \Omega$ and for all $i \in V$, we have

$$\int D(\xi, \eta)\nu(d\eta) = \left(\int D(\delta_i, \eta)\nu(d\eta) \right)^{|\xi|} \tag{13}$$

where δ_i denotes the configuration with a single particle at i and no particles elsewhere.

Proof. First assume that ν is an invariant product measure. Define $H(\xi) = \int D(\xi, \eta)\nu(d\eta)$. By ν-integrability in the interacting particle system case

(resp. (12) in the interacting diffusion case), self-duality and invariance of ν we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_\xi H(\xi(t)) &= \int \mathbb{E}_\xi D(\xi(t),\eta)\nu(d\eta) \\ &= \int \mathbb{E}_\eta D(\xi,\eta(t))\nu(d\eta) \\ &= \int D(\xi,\eta)\nu(d\eta) = H(\xi) \ .\end{aligned}$$

Therefore by [HT] we conclude that $H(\xi) = \psi(|\xi|)$. By using $d_i(0,\cdot) \equiv 1$ and the factorization of the duality functions, we have that $\psi(0) = 1$, and

$$\int D(\delta_i,\eta)\nu(d\eta) = \psi(1) \ .$$

In particular, we obtain that the l.h.s. does not depend on i. Next, for $n \geq 2$, put

$$\int D(n\delta_i,\eta)\nu(d\eta) = \psi(n) \ ,$$

then we have for $i \neq j \in V$, using the factorized duality function and the product form of the measure,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(n) &= \int D(n\delta_i,\eta)\nu(d\eta) \\ &= \int D(\delta_j,\eta)D((n-1)\delta_i,\eta)\nu(d\eta) \\ &= \psi(1)\psi(n-1) \ ,\end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $\psi(n) = \psi(1)^n$.
To prove the other implication, put

$$\int D(\delta_i,\eta)\nu(d\eta) = \kappa \ .$$

We then have by assumption

$$\int D(\xi,\eta)\nu(d\eta) = \kappa^{|\xi|} \ ,$$

and so it follows that ν is invariant by self-duality, ν-integrability, the conservation of the number of particles and the measure-determining property.

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\int \mathbb{E}_\eta D(\xi,\eta(t))\nu(d\eta) &= \int \mathbb{E}_\xi D(\xi(t),\eta)\nu(d\eta)\\ &= \mathbb{E}_\xi(\kappa^{|\xi(t)|})\\ &= \kappa^{|\xi|} = \int D(\xi,\eta)\nu(d\eta)\ .\end{aligned}$$

From the product form of ν, (13) implies that for all $i \in V$ and $\xi_i \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int d_i(\xi_i,\eta_i)\nu(d\eta) = \kappa^{\xi_i}\ ,$$

and also

$$\int D(\xi,\eta)\nu(d\eta) = \kappa^{|\xi|} = \prod_{i\in V}\kappa^{\xi_i} = \prod_{i\in V}\int d_i(\xi_i,\eta_i)\nu(d\eta)$$

therefore ν is a product measure by the fact that d_i are measure determining. □

Remark 3.2. *This result is immediately generalized from self-duality to duality as long as the dual process* $\{\xi(t),\ t \geq 0\}$ *is the one that moves on the set* V *and satisfies* [HT].

3.3 Infinite case

If $V = \mathbb{Z}^d$, then one needs essentially two extra conditions to state an analogous result in which a general relation between duality functions and corresponding stationary measures can be derived.

In this section we will assume that the dual process is a discrete particle system, i.e. $\hat{E}$ is a subset of $\mathbb{N}$, in which the number of particles is conserved. In this case we need an additional property ensuring that for the dynamics of a finite number of particles there are no bounded harmonic functions other than those depending on the total number of particles. Therefore, we introduce the condition of existence of a successful coupling for the discrete dual process with a finite number of particles. This is defined below.

Definition 3.1. *We say that the discrete dual process* $\{\xi(t),\ t \geq 0\}$ *has the* successful coupling property *when the following holds: if we start with* n *particles then there exists a labeling such that for the corresponding labeled process* $\{X_1(t),\ldots,X_n(t),\ t \geq 0\}$ *there exists a successful coupling. This means*

that for every two initial positions $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ *and* $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$*, there exists a coupling with path space measure* $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}$ *such that the coupling time*

$$\tau = \inf\{s > 0 : \ \mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{Y}(t), \ \forall t \geq s\}$$

is finite $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}$ *almost surely.*

Notice that the successful coupling property is the most common way to prove the following property [9], which is the analogue of [HT], referred here to as *bounded harmonic triviality* of the dual process:

[BHT] If H is a bounded harmonic function, then $H(\xi) = \psi(|\xi|)$ for some bounded $\psi : E \to \mathbb{R}$.

Furthermore, we need a form of uniform ν-integrability of the duality functions which we introduce below and call *uniform domination property* of D w.r.t. ν (note the analogy with condition (12)):

[UD] Given ν a probability measure on Ω, the duality functions $\{D(\xi, \cdot), \ |\xi| = n\}$ are uniformly ν-integrable, i.e. for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a function f_n such that f_n is ν-integrable and such that for all $\eta \in \Omega$

$$\sup_{\xi \in \hat{\Omega}, |\xi| = n} |D(\xi, \eta)| \leq f_n(\eta) \ . \tag{14}$$

Under these conditions, the following result holds, whose proof resembles that of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that* $\{\eta(t), \ t \geq 0\}$ *is dual to* $\{\xi(t), \ t \geq 0\}$ *with factorized duality function* D *satisfying conditions* [D1] *and* [D2]*. Moreover, assume that* [BHT] *holds for the dual process and that* ν *is a probability measure on* Ω *such that* [UD] *holds. Then the two statements in Theorem 3.1 are equivalent, where* (13) *holds for all finite configurations* $\xi \in \hat{\Omega}$*.*

Remark 3.3. *The condition of the existence of a successful coupling (and the consequent bounded harmonic triviality) is quite natural in the context of interacting particle systems, where we have that a finite number of walkers behave as independent walkers, except when they are close and interact. Therefore, the successful coupling needed is a variation of the Ornstein coupling of independent walkers, see e.g. [2], [3], [8].*

3.4 Translation invariant case

In this section, we show that under the assumption of factorized duality functions, minimal ergodicity assumptions on an invariant probability measure ν on Ω are needed to ensure (13), and, as a consequence, that ν is product measure.

Here we restrict to the case $V = \mathbb{Z}^d$ because we will use spatial ergodicity.

Theorem 3.3. *In the setting of Theorem 3.2 with $D(\xi, \eta)$ duality function and ν probability measure on Ω, if ν is a translation-invariant and ergodic (under translations) invariant measure for $\{\eta(t),\ t \geq 0\}$, then we have* (13) *for all finite configurations ξ; as a consequence, ν is a product measure.*

Proof. To start, let us consider a configuration $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{x_i}$. By bounded harmonic triviality [BHT], combined with the bound (14) for all such configurations, $\int D(\xi, \eta)\nu(d\eta)$ is only depending on n and, therefore, we can replace ξ by $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta_{x_i} + \delta_y$, where y is arbitrary in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Let us call $B_N = [-N, N]^d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$. Fix N_0 such that B_{N_0} contains all the points $x_1, \ldots x_{n-1}$. For y outside B_{N_0}, by the factorization property, $D(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta_{x_i} + \delta_y, \eta) = D(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta_{x_i}, \eta) D(\delta_y, \eta)$. By the Birkhoff ergodic theorem, we have that

$$\frac{1}{(2N+1)^d} \sum_{y \in B_N} D(\delta_y, \eta) \to \int D(\delta_0, \eta)\nu(d\eta)$$

ν-a.s. as $N \to \infty$. Using this, together with (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int D(\xi, \eta)\nu(d\eta) \\
&= \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{(2N+1)^d} \sum_{y \in B_N \setminus B_{N_0}} \int D(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta_{x_i}, \eta) D(\delta_y, \eta)\nu(d\eta) \\
&= \int D(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta_{x_i}, \eta)\nu(d\eta) \int D(\delta_0, \eta)\nu(d\eta)
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Iterating this argument gives (13). □

Remark 3.4. *As follows clearly from the proof, the condition of factorization of the duality function can be replaced by the weaker condition of*

$$\lim_{|y| \to \infty} (D(\delta_{x_1} + \ldots + \delta_{x_n} + \delta_y, \eta) - D(\delta_{x_1} + \ldots + \delta_{x_n}, \eta) D(\delta_y, \eta)) = 0$$

for all η, $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. We note that this approximate factorization of the duality function leads to (13)*, though ν is not necessarily a product measure.*

3.5 Non-translation invariant case

Notice that the equality (13) is also valid in contexts where one cannot rely on translation invariance. Examples include spatially inhomogeneous SIP($\boldsymbol{\alpha}$) and SEP($\boldsymbol{\gamma}$), where α_i, resp. γ_i, in (8), resp. (6), are allowed to depend on i. The self-duality functions are factorizing over the sites and the reversible measures are in product form, with site-dependent marginals. Therefore, condition (13) clearly holds, although we are outside of the context of Theorem 3.3.

In Theorem 3.4 below, we show that, without any assumption on the product form of the invariant measure ν for the system $\{\eta(t),\ t \geq 0\}$, the averaging over space w.r.t. ν, used in the proof of Theorem 3.3 above, can be replaced by a temporal average.

If we start with a single dual particle, the dual process is a continuous-time random walk on V, for which we denote $p(t; i, j)$ the transition probability to go from i to j in time $t > 0$. A basic assumption will then be

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} p(t; i, j) = 0 \tag{16}$$

for all $i, j \in V$.

Theorem 3.4. *In the setting of Theorem 3.2 with $D(\xi, \eta)$ duality function and ν probability measure on Ω, if ν is an ergodic invariant measure for the process $\{\eta(t),\ t \geq 0\}$, then we have* (13) *for all finite configurations ξ; as a consequence, ν is a product measure.*

Proof. The idea is to replace the spatial average in the proof of Theorem 3.3 by a Cesaro average over time, which we can deal by combining assumption (16) with the assumed temporal ergodicity.

Fix $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in V$, $y \in V$. Define

$$H_{n+1}(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y) = \int D(\delta_{x_1} + \ldots + \delta_{x_n} + \delta_y, \eta)\nu(d\eta)$$

$$H_1(y) = \int D(\delta_y, \eta)\nu(d\eta)$$

$$H_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \int D(\delta_{x_1} + \ldots + \delta_{x_n}, \eta)\nu(d\eta)\ .$$

It is sufficient to obtain that $H_{n+1}(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y) = H_1(y)H_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. We already know by the bounded harmonic triviality that H_n only depends on n and not on the given locations $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. Therefore, we have

$$H_{n+1}(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y) = \sum_z p(t; y, z)H_{n+1}(x_1, \ldots, x_n, z)\ .$$

By assumption (16), this implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& H_{n+1}(x_1,\dots,x_n,y) \\
= & \lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T dt \sum_{z\notin\{x_1,\dots,x_n\}} p(t;y,z)H_{n+1}(x_1,\dots,x_n,z) \\
= & \lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T dt \sum_{z\notin\{x_1,\dots,x_n\}} p(t;y,z)\int D(\delta_{x_1}+\dots+\delta_{x_n},\eta)D(\delta_y,\eta)\nu(d\eta) \\
= & \lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T dt \sum_{z} p(t;y,z)\int D(\delta_{x_1}+\dots+\delta_{x_n},\eta)D(\delta_y,\eta)\nu(d\eta) \\
= & \lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T dt \int D(\delta_{x_1}+\dots+\delta_{x_n},\eta)\mathbb{E}_\eta D(\delta_y,\eta(t))\nu(d\eta) \\
= & H_1(y)H_n(x_1,\dots,x_n)\ ,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used the assumed temporal ergodicity of ν and Birkhoff ergodic theorem. □

4 From the stationary product measures to the duality functions

To illustrate the point of this section, we start with the example of independent random walkers.

If we consider as single-site self-duality function $d(k,n)=\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(k,n)\frac{\lambda^n}{n!} = \lambda^k e^\lambda\ . \tag{17}$$

The point is that we can easily re-derive the explicit formula of these functions from (17), i.e. passing from the Taylor series expansion of $\lambda^k e^\lambda$ to its n-th derivative w.r.t. λ. Indeed, we conclude from (17)

$$\left(\left[\frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n}\right]_{\lambda=0}\lambda^k e^\lambda\right) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} = d(k,n)\ .$$

In what follows, we implement this approach for more general processes and obtain a complete picture of all admissible duality functions.

Moreover, all systems considered hereafter will be site-homogeneous, i.e. single-site duality functions and parameters do not depend on $i\in V$; in particular, for $\gamma\in\mathbb{N}$, SEP(γ) is a shortcut for SEP($\boldsymbol{\gamma}$) with $\gamma_j=\gamma$, similarly for SIP(α) and BEP(α) with $\alpha>0$.

4.1 The series expansion method

More generally, we consider the case of ν_λ a probability measure on $\mathbb{N}$, functions $d(0,n)=1$ and $d(1,n)$ such that

$$\sum_n d(1,n)\nu_\lambda(n) = \theta(\lambda)\ . \tag{18}$$

If $d(k,n)$ is a single-site self-duality function and ν_λ is the marginal of a stationary product measure for a particle system, then by Theorem 3.2 we have

$$\sum_n d(k,n)\nu_\lambda(n) = \theta(\lambda)^k\ . \tag{19}$$

Additionally, if

$$\nu_\lambda(n) = \varphi(n)\frac{\lambda^n}{n!}\frac{1}{Z_\lambda} \tag{20}$$

for some φ positive, then we can infer

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(k,n)\varphi(n)\frac{\lambda^n}{n!} = \theta(\lambda)^k Z_\lambda \tag{21}$$

and obtain a formula for $d(k,n)$:

$$d(k,n) = \frac{1}{\varphi(n)}\left(\left[\frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n}\right]_{\lambda=0}\theta(\lambda)^k Z_\lambda\right)\ . \tag{22}$$

In words, from the knowledge of the stationary product measures (which follows usually by a simple detailed balance computation) and from an ansatz of the first single-site duality function $d(1,n)$, one infers the only possible single-site self-duality functions $d(k,n)$ for all values of k.

Let us illustrate this by coming back to the examples of inclusion and exclusion processes, recovering the single-site self-duality functions introduced in Section 3.1 via this method. In Section 4.3 we obtain several new single-site self-duality functions using this method.

Inclusion process. For SIP(α) we have $Z_\lambda = (1-\lambda)^{-\alpha}$, $\varphi(n) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ and from (22), with the choice $d(1,n) = \frac{n}{\alpha}$, we have $\theta(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}$ and recover

$$\begin{aligned} d(k,n) &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+n)}\left(\left[\frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n}\right]_{\lambda=0}\lambda^k(1-\lambda)^{-\alpha-k}\right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+n)}\binom{n}{k}k!(\alpha+k)(\alpha+k+1)\dots(\alpha+n-1) \\ &= \frac{n!}{(n-k)!}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+k)}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Exclusion process. We have, using a new parametrization consistent with (20), for SEP(γ)

$$\nu_\lambda(n) = \frac{(\gamma)!}{(\gamma-n)!}\frac{\lambda^n}{n!}\left(\frac{1}{1+\lambda}\right)^\gamma ,$$

$Z_\lambda = (1+\lambda)^\gamma$, $\varphi(n) = \frac{(\gamma)!}{(\gamma-n)!}$ and from (22), with the choice $d(1,n) = \frac{n}{\gamma}$, we have $\theta(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}$ and recover

$$\begin{aligned} d(k,n) &= \frac{(\gamma-n)!}{(\gamma)!}\left(\left[\frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n}\right]_{\lambda=0}\lambda^k(1+\lambda)^{\gamma-k}\right) \\ &= \frac{(\gamma-n)!}{(\gamma)!}\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}(\gamma-k)(\gamma-k-1)\dots(\gamma-n+1) \\ &= \frac{\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{\gamma}{k}} . \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

4.2 Particle systems with factorized self-duality

We start this section with the observation that discrete processes of IRW, SIP and SEP type are - within a natural class of "factorizable processes" - the only processes having the self-duality property. The proof of this claim employs simple tools, of different nature from those previously studied. However, by assuming only [D1] and combining with the scheme in Section 4.1, in Section 4.3 we are able to derive the general form of factorized self-duality for such systems. In addition, as we implement this construction for all possible first single-site self-duality functions $d(1,n)$, this provides a complete characterization of all factorized self-duality functions satisfying [D1].

We consider a homogeneous particle system on two sites with generator of the form

$$\begin{aligned} Lf(n_1,n_2) &= u(n_1)v(n_2)(f(n_1-1,n_2+1)-f(n_1,n_2)) \\ &+ u(n_2)v(n_1)(f(n_1+1,n_2-1)-f(n_1,n_2)) , \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

i.e. the jump rates depend only on the arrival and departure site occupancies and are in factorized form. Moreover, we assume $u(0) = 0$, $v(0) \neq 0$. Then we have the following.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that the process with generator* (25) *is self-dual with factorized self-duality function of the form*

$$D(k_1,k_2;n_1,n_2) = d(k_1,n_1)d(k_2,n_2) .$$

We divide in two cases.

1. *If we assume that* $d(0,\cdot) \equiv 1$ *and* $d(1,n)$ *is not constant as a function of* n*, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} u(n) &= u(1)n \\ v(n) &= v(0) + (v(1) - v(0))n \ . \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Moreover, the first single-site self-duality function is of the form

$$d(1,n) = a + bn \ , \tag{27}$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $b \neq 0$*.*

2. *More generally, if we assume that* $d(0,n) \neq 0$ *and that* $d(1,n)$ *is not constant as a function of* n*, then we have* (26) *and*

$$\begin{aligned} d(0,n) &= c^n \\ d(1,n) &= c^n(a + bn) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

for some constants $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$*,* $b, c \neq 0$*.*

Remark 4.1. *The particle system with generator* (25) *fulfilling conditions* (26) *is a process of type* IRW *for the choice* $v(1) = v(0)$*;* SIP(α) *with* $\alpha > 0$ *for* $v(0) = \alpha$*,* $v(1) = v(0) + 1$*;* SEP(γ) *with* $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ *for* $v(0) = \gamma$*,* $v(1) = v(0) - 1$*.*

Proof. Using the self-duality relation for $k_1 = 1, k_2 = 0$ together with $u(0) = 0$, we obtain the identity

$$\begin{aligned} &u(n_1)v(n_2)(d(1,n_1-1) - d(1,n_1)) + u(n_2)v(n_1)(d(1,n_1+1) - d(1,n_1)) \\ &= u(1)v(0)(d(1,n_2) - d(1,n_1)) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Setting $n_1 = n_2 = n \geq 1$, this yields anytime $u(n)v(n) \neq 0$,

$$d(1,n+1) + d(1,n-1) - 2d(1,n) = 0 \ , \tag{30}$$

from which we derive $d(1,n) = a + bn$. Because $d(1,n)$ is not constant as a function of n, we must have $b \neq 0$. Inserting $d(1,n) = a + bn$ in (29) we obtain

$$u(n_1)v(n_2) - u(n_2)v(n_1) = -u(1)v(0)(n_2 - n_1) \ ,$$

from which, by setting $n_1 = n$ and $n_2 = 0$ we obtain the first in (26), while via $n_1 = n$ and $n_2 = 1$ we get the second condition.

Regarding the second statement, we start by observing that by self-duality

$$L_{\text{right}} d(0,n_1)d(0,n_2) \ = \ 0 \ .$$

As a consequence, by irreducibility of the process $(n_1(t), n_2(t))$ (once the number of particles is fixed) $d(0, n_1)d(0, n_2) = \varphi(n_1 + n_2)$, for some φ. As a consequence, for some $c \neq 0$, we obtain the first in (28). Given this, the rest follows along the same lines as above. □

4.3 General self-duality functions and orthogonal polynomials

Along the same line of Section 4.1, we explicitly derive the single-site self-duality functions for independent random walkers, symmetric and exclusion processes. Beside the "classical" dualities illustrated in Section 3.1, we obtain the single-site self-duality functions in terms of orthogonal polynomials $\{p_n(k),\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of a discrete variable [10] recently found via a different approach in [5]. We add the observation that all these new single-site self-duality functions can be obtained from the classical ones via a Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization procedure w.r.t. the correct probability measures on $\mathbb{N}$, namely the marginals of the associated stationary product measures [5].

For processes with generator (25), stationary product measures are available in terms of the functions u, v and the parameter $\lambda > 0$, with marginals having the following form

$$\nu_\lambda(n) \;=\; \left(\prod_{m=1}^{n} \frac{v(m-1)}{u(m)}\right) \frac{\lambda^n}{Z_\lambda}\ , \tag{31}$$

with normalizing constant

$$Z_\lambda \;=\; \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{m=1}^{n} \frac{v(m-1)}{u(m)}\right) \lambda^n\ ,$$

in which all quantities are defined only for values of $\lambda > 0$ for which $Z_\lambda < \infty$. If the system is self-dual in the sense of Proposition 4.1, then we can use the series expansion method to obtain all possible self-duality single-site self-duality functions.

We divide the discussion in two cases, one suitable for processes of IRW-type, the other for SIP and SEP.

4.3.1 Independent random walkers

We assume that the values in (26) satisfy the relation $v(1) = v(0)$. Then the marginals (31) have the simpler form

$$\nu_\lambda(n) \;=\; \frac{1}{u(1)^n} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \frac{1}{Z_\lambda}\ ,$$

with $Z_\lambda = e^{\frac{\lambda}{u(1)}}$. If we compute the expression (18) for the general first single-site self-duality function obtained in (27), we get

$$\theta(\lambda) \;=\; \sum_n (a+bn)\frac{\lambda^n}{u(1)^n}\frac{1}{n!}\frac{1}{Z_\lambda} = a + \frac{b}{u(1)}\lambda \ .$$

Once the first single-site self-duality function is set and (18) is determined, via relation (22) with $\varphi(n) = u(1)^{-n}$, we recover all functions $d(k,\cdot)$, for $k > 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} d(k,n) &= u(1)^n \left(\left[\frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} \right]_{\lambda=0} \left(a + \frac{b}{u(1)}\lambda \right)^k e^{\frac{\lambda}{u(1)}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n} \binom{n}{r} k(k-1)\cdots(k-r+1) b^r a^{k-r} \ . \end{aligned}$$

In case $a = 0$, $d(k,n) = 0$ for $n < k$, while for $n \geq k$, in the summation all terms but the one corresponding to $r = k$ vanish, thus $d(k,n) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} b^k$. In case $a \neq 0$ and $a \cdot b < 0$,

$$d(k,n) \;=\; a^k \sum_{r=0}^{\min(k,n)} \binom{n}{r}\binom{k}{r} r! \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^r = a^k \ C_k(n; -\tfrac{a}{b}) \ , \qquad (32)$$

where $\{C_k(n;\mu),\ k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are the Poisson-Charlier polynomials - orthogonal polynomials w.r.t. the Poisson distribution of parameter $\mu > 0$, [10].

4.3.2 Inclusion and exclusion process

In case $v(1) \neq v(0)$, we abbreviate

$$\beta = \frac{v(0)}{v(1)-v(0)} \ , \ \sigma = \frac{v(1)-v(0)}{u(1)} \ ,$$

the marginals ν_λ of the stationary product measures reduce to are of the form (20) with[2]

$$\varphi(n) \;=\; \frac{\Gamma(\beta+n)}{\Gamma(\beta)}\sigma^n \ , \qquad (33)$$

and

$$Z_\lambda \;=\; (1-\sigma\lambda)^{-\beta} \ .$$

[2]The expression $\frac{\Gamma(\beta+n)}{\Gamma(\beta)}$ must be read in terms of the Pochhammer symbol (raising factorial) $(\beta)_n$ when $\beta \leq 0$.

If we compute $\theta(\lambda)$ for $d(1,n)$ in (27), we have

$$\theta(\lambda) \;=\; a + b\sum_n n\nu_\lambda(n) = a + b\beta\sigma\lambda\,(1-\sigma\lambda)^{-1} = \frac{a+(b\beta\sigma - a\sigma)\,\lambda}{1-\sigma\lambda}\;.$$

We note that the quantities in (23) and (24) are found by starting with $d(1,n) = \frac{n}{v(0)}$, i.e. $a = 0$ and $b = \frac{1}{v(0)}$, assuming $u(1) = 1$ and setting $\beta = \alpha$ (resp. $\beta = -\gamma$) in case of inclusion (resp. exclusion).

From the explicit expression of $\theta(\lambda)$, $\varphi(n)$ and Z_λ, via (22) we obtain all functions $d(k,n)$ for $k \geq 2$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d(k,n) &= \frac{1}{\varphi(n)}\left(\left[\frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n}\right]_{\lambda=0}(a+(b\beta\sigma-a\sigma)\,\lambda)^k\,(1-\sigma\lambda)^{-k-\beta}\right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta+n)}\sum_{r=0}^{n}\binom{n}{r}\binom{k}{r}r!a^{k-r}\,(b\beta-a)^r\,\frac{\Gamma(\beta+n+k-r)}{\Gamma(\beta+k)} \qquad (34)\end{aligned}$$

In case $a = 0$, clearly $d(k,n) = 0$ for $k < n$, while for $n \geq k$ only the term for $r = k$ is nonzero in the summation:

$$d(k,n) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!}\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta+k)}\,(b\beta)^k\;. \qquad (35)$$

In case $a \neq 0$, by using the known relation (cf. [10], p. 51),

$$\begin{aligned} d(k,n) &= a^k\,\frac{\Gamma(\beta)\,\Gamma(\beta+n+k)}{\Gamma(\beta+n)\,\Gamma(\beta+k)}\;{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}-n & -k\\ -n-k-\beta+1\end{matrix};1-\frac{b}{a}\beta\right] \\ &= a^k\;{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}-n & -k\\ \beta\end{matrix};\frac{b}{a}\beta\right]\;. \qquad (36)\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if $\beta = \alpha > 0$ and if $a \cdot b < 0$, we recognize in (36) the Meixner polynomials as defined in [10], i.e.

$$d(k,n) \;=\; a^k\,\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+k)}\;M_k(n;\mu,\alpha)\;, \qquad (37)$$

where in our case $\mu = \frac{a}{a-b\alpha}$ and $\{M_k(n;\mu,\alpha),\ k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are the Meixner polynomials - orthogonal polynomials w.r.t. the discrete Gamma distribution of scale parameter μ and shape parameter α.

If $\beta = -\gamma$ with $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ and given the additional requirements $a \cdot b < 0$ and $-\frac{a}{b} \leq \gamma$, from the expression in (36) we have a representation of the single-site duality functions in terms of the Kravchuk polynomials as defined in [10], i.e.

$$d(k,n) \;=\; a^k\;K_k(n;p,\gamma)\;\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^k\frac{1}{\binom{\gamma}{k}}\;, \qquad (38)$$

where $p = -\frac{a}{b\gamma}$ in our case and $\{K_k(n; p, \gamma),\ k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ the Kravchuk polynomials - orthogonal polynomials w.r.t. the Binomial distribution of parameters γ and p.

As a conclusion of this procedure, we note that all factorized self-duality functions for independent random walkers, inclusion and exclusion processes satisfying [D1] are either in the "classical" form of Section 3.1 (case $a = 0$) or consist of products of rescaled versions of orthogonal polynomials (case $a \neq 0$).

Remark 4.2. *It is interesting to note that, apart from the leading factor a^k, the remaining* polynomials *in the expressions of $d(k, n)$ for $a \neq 0$ are "*self-dual*" in the sense of the orthogonal polynomials literature, i.e. $p_n(k) = p_k(n)$ in our context (cf. Definition 3.1, [7]). Henceforth, if $d(k, n)$ is interpreted as a countable matrix, the value $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is the only responsible for the asymmetry of $d(k, n)$: upper-triangular for $a = 0$ while symmetric for $a = 1$.*

Remark 4.3 (Trivial self-duality)**.** *To conclude, for the sake of completeness, we can implement the same machinery to cover all factorized self-dualities with property* [D1] *for all discrete processes of type* (25)*.*

Indeed, from the proof of Proposition 4.1, if the process is neither of the types IRW, SIP *and* SEP*, we have that $d(1, n) = a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e. it is not depending on n. From this, as the stationary product measures marginals ν_λ are as in* (20)*, with*

$$\varphi(n) = n! \prod_{m=1}^{n} \frac{v(m-1)}{u(m)} \ ,$$

and since $\theta(\lambda) = a$, we can recover $d(k, n) = a^k$ from the formula (22)*. Hence, the self-duality functions must be of the form*

$$D(k_1, k_2; n_1, n_2) \ = \ a^{k_1+k_2} \ ,$$

i.e. depending only on the total number of dual particles. Hence, the duality relation in that case does not give more information than the conservation of the number of dual particles.

4.4 The Laplace transform method

As shown in Theorem 3.1, relations (18) and (19) still hold whenever the discrete right-variables $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are replaced by continuous variables $x \in [0, \infty)$ and sums by integrals. With this observation in mind, we provide a second

general method to *characterize all factorized duality functions* between the continuous process BEP(α) and its discrete dual SIP(α).

More precisely, if $d(k,x)$ is a single-site duality function with property [D1] between the two-site BEP(α) and the two-site SIP(α), and ν_λ is the stationary product measure marginal for BEP(α) as in (11), then, from the analogue of relation (18), namely

$$\int d(1,x)\frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\frac{e^{-\lambda x}}{\lambda^{-\alpha}}dx \;=\; \theta(\lambda)\;, \tag{39}$$

we necessarily have by Theorem 3.1 that

$$\int d(k,x)\frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}e^{-\lambda x}dx \;=\; \theta(\lambda)^k\lambda^{-\alpha}\;. \tag{40}$$

This relation can be thought as the continuous analogue of (19). As a consequence, the function $d(k,x)\frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ is the inverse Laplace transform of $\theta(\lambda)^k\lambda^{-\alpha}$.

First, we find the general form of the first single-site duality functions $d(1,x)$ between BEP(α) and SIP(α). This can be thought as the continuous analogue of (27) in Proposition 4.1.

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that the* BEP(α) *and* SIP(α) *processes are dual with factorized duality function* $D(k_1,k_2;x_1,x_2)=d(k_1,x_1)d(k_2,x_2)$*, and assume that* $d(0,x)\equiv 1$*. Then*

$$d(1,x) \;=\; a+bx\;, \tag{41}$$

for some $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$*.*

Proof. The duality relation for the initial values $k_1=1$, $k_2=0$, by using [D1], reads

$$d(1,x_2)-d(1,x_1) \;=\; -\alpha(x_1-x_2)\partial_1 d(1,x_1)+x_1x_2\partial_1^2 d(1,x_1)\;.$$

If we set $x_1=x_2=x$, then $x^2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}d(1,x)=0$ leads to (41) as unique solution. □

Given the first single-site duality function $d(1,x)$ in (41), from (39) we obtain

$$\theta(\lambda) \;=\; \int (a+bx)\frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\frac{e^{-\lambda x}}{\lambda^{-\alpha}}dx = (a\lambda+b\alpha)\,\lambda^{-1}\;. \tag{42}$$

As a consequence, the r.h.s. in (40) becomes

$$\theta(\lambda)^k\lambda^{-\alpha} \;=\; (a\lambda+b\alpha)^k\,\lambda^{-\alpha-k}\;, \tag{43}$$

and there exist explicit expressions for the inverse Laplace transform of this function. We need to separate two cases.

In case $a = 0$, since the inverse Laplace transform of $\lambda^{-\alpha-k}$ is $\frac{x^{\alpha+k-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+k)}$, we immediately obtain

$$d(k,x) \;=\; (b\alpha)^k \frac{x^k \Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+k)} \;, \tag{44}$$

i.e. the "classical" single-site duality function as in Section 3.1, up to set $b = \frac{1}{\alpha}$.

In case $a \neq 0$, the inverse Laplace transform of (43) is more elaborated:

$$a^k \; \frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \; {}_1F_1\left[\begin{matrix} -k \\ \alpha \end{matrix} ; -\frac{b\alpha}{a} x \right] .$$

As the above expression must equal $d(k,x)\frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$, it follows that

$$d(k,x) \;=\; a^k \; {}_1F_1\left[\begin{matrix} -k \\ \alpha \end{matrix} ; -\frac{b\alpha}{a} x \right] .$$

As a final consideration, we note that for the choice $a \cdot b < 0$,

$$d(k,x) \;=\; a^k \; \frac{k!\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+k)} \; L_k(x; \alpha-1, \mu) \;, \tag{45}$$

where $\mu = -\frac{b\alpha}{a}$ here and $\{L_k(x;\alpha-1,\mu),\ k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are the generalized Laguerre polynomials - orthogonal polynomials w.r.t. to the Gamma distribution of scale parameter $\frac{1}{\mu}$ and shape parameter α as defined in [10].

5 Generating functions of self-duality

The idea of the *generating function approach* is to provide an unified perspective where self-duality of discrete processes, duality of discrete and continuous processes are put on the same footing. Moreover, it provides a technique to generate new self-duality functions for continuous processes, such as the BEP(α).

More concretely, by going to generating functions, the difference operators, which typically appear in interacting particle systems, that act on a (self-)duality function are transformed into differential operators acting on the generating function. To invert this procedure is also possible, so to pass from an expression with differential operators back to difference operators.

A comparable approach is presented in [4] in the context of combinatorics and difference equations.

These multiple representations of a (self-)duality relation exhibit some advantages. First, to prove a duality identity involving differential operators may avoid tedious computations, which most of the times arise in the discrete context. Furthermore, and mainly, a complete characterization of self-duality in the discrete setting, as provided in Section 4, transfers directly to the continuous setting.

More precisely, if we start from a particle system with single-site self-duality $d(k,n)$ as we encountered in the previous sections, we call

$$\begin{aligned} g(k,z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(k,n)\frac{z^n}{n!} \ , \\ h(v,z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g(k,z)\frac{v^k}{k!} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

the *first-order* (resp. *second-order*) *generating function* of such functions $d(k,n)$. On the other side, given a generating function as $g(k,z)$ (resp. $h(v,z)$), we refer to $d(k,n)$, obviously derived via

$$\begin{aligned} d(k,n) &= \left(\left[\frac{\partial^n}{\partial z^n} \right]_{z=0} g(k,z) \right) \ , \\ d(k,n) &= \left(\left[\frac{\partial^k}{\partial v^n} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial z^n} \right]_{v=0,z=0} h(v,z) \right) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

as the *first-order* (resp. *second-order*) *coefficients of the generating function* $g(k,z)$ (resp. $h(v,z)$).

In what follows, for the well-definiteness of the generating functions (46), we will always assume that the functions of discrete variables are such that the series are absolutely convergent in a neighborhood of the origin in the complex plane. Additionally, we assume that all continuous-variable functions as in (47) are analytical in a neighborhood of the origin in the complex plane and, as a consequence, admit a series representation.

As a warm-up, let us start with the example of independent random walkers, self-dual with the "classical" self-duality function, in order to illustrate the approach. For simplicity, but without loss of generality, we consider a two-site system where the particles are hopping at rate 1 between the two sites.

We will see that by going to generating functions, one transforms the action of the independent random walk generator into the action of a differential operator. We recall the notation L_{right} for action of the generator on the right variables - denoted here by n_1, n_2 - and L_{left} on the left variables - k_1, k_2. We also recall the "classical" single-site self-duality functions

$$d(k,n) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} \ . \tag{48}$$

The important and characterizing property of these polynomials is that

$$g(k,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(k,n)\frac{z^n}{n!} = e^z z^k \ . \tag{49}$$

If we want to show self-duality, we have to show that

$$L_{\text{left}}(d(k_1,n_1)d(k_2,n_2)) = L_{\text{right}}(d(k_1,n_1)d(k_2,n_2)) \tag{50}$$

for all k_1, k_2, n_1, n_2 natural numbers. This relation is, by passing to the first-order generating function on both sides, in turn equivalent with

$$L_{\text{left}}(g(k_1,z_1)g(k_2,z_2)) = \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty}(L_{\text{right}}(d(k_1,n_1)d(k_2,n_2)))\frac{z_1^{n_1}}{n_1!}\frac{z_2^{n_2}}{n_2!} \tag{51}$$

for all $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us now start from the r.h.s. and rewrite only one of the two terms as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} n_1(d(k_1,n_1-1)d(k_2,n_2+1) - d(k_1,n_1)d(k_2,n_2))\frac{z_1^{n_1}}{n_1!}\frac{z_2^{n_2}}{n_2!} \\ = \ & [z_1\partial_2 - z_1\partial_1](g(k_1,z_1)g(k_2,z_2)) \ , \end{aligned}$$

where we remind that ∂_i means partial derivative in direction z_i. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty}(L_{\text{right}}(d(k_1,n_1)d(k_2,n_2)))\frac{z_1^{n_1}}{n_1!}\frac{z_2^{n_2}}{n_2!} \\ = \ & [z_1(\partial_2 - \partial_1) + z_2(\partial_1 - \partial_2)](g(k_1,z_1)g(k_2,z_2)) \ , \end{aligned}$$

i.e. both sides in (51) can be expressed in terms of left and right actions on the same function $g(k_1,z_1)g(k_2,z_2)$.

In order to establish (51), we still have to prove that the l.h.s. in (51) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & L_{\text{left}}(g(k_1,z_1)g(k_2,z_2)) \\ = \;& [z_1(\partial_2-\partial_1)+z_2(\partial_1-\partial_2)](g(k_1,z_1)g(k_2,z_2)) \; . \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

By acting with the generator L_{left} and from the explicit characterization (49) of the generating function $g(k,z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & L_{\text{left}}(g(k_1,z_1)g(k_2,z_2)) \\ = \;& \left((k_1 z_1^{k_1-1}z_2^{k_2+1}-k_1 z_1^{k_1}z_2^{k_2})+(k_2 z_1^{k_1+1}z_2^{k_2-1}-k_2 z_1^{k_1}z_2^{k_2})\right) e^{z_1+z_2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [z_1(\partial_2-\partial_1)+z_2(\partial_1-\partial_2)](g(k_1,z_1)g(k_2,z_2)) \\ = \;& \left[z_1(k_2 z_1^{k_1}z_2^{k_2-1}-k_1 z_1^{k_1-1}z_2^{k_2})+z_2(k_1 z_1^{k_1-1}z_2^{k_2}-k_2 z_1^{k_1}z_2^{k_2-1})\right] e^{z_1+z_2} \; . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, indeed (52) holds. In other words, from the self-duality relation (50) with functions $d(k,n)$, one obtains directly the following duality

$$L_{\text{left}}g(k_1,z_1)g(k_2,z_2) \;=\; \mathscr{L}_{\text{right}}g(k_1,z_1)g(k_2,z_2) \; , \tag{53}$$

where we call $\mathscr{L}=-(z_1-z_2)(\partial_1-\partial_2)$ the differential operator appearing in (52).

In fact, something stronger can be stated. First of all, by the equivalence of these formulations of duality, the converse statement holds, too: if there exists a function $g(k,z)$ such that (53) holds, the self-duality property (50) holds for its coefficients, $d(k,n)$ in this case. Additionally, by going to the second-order generating function $h(v,z)$ in (50), via analogous computations, we can show that the left action of L_{left} on $d(k,n)$ transforms into the left action $\mathscr{L}_{\text{left}}$ on $h(v,z)$, i.e. we obtain a self-duality relation for the operator $\mathscr{L}$ with self-duality functions $h(v_1,z_1)h(v_2,z_2)$.

We explore these considerations in more generality in the following sections.

5.1 Duality and generating functions in particle systems

Let us now consider a generator $L^{\beta,\sigma}$ associated to a two-site particle system as in (25), with $u(n)=n$ and $v(n)=\beta+\sigma n$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} L^{\beta,\sigma}f(n_1,n_2) \;&=\; n_1(\beta+\sigma n_2)(f(n_1-1,n_2+1)-f(n_1,n_2)) \\ &+\; n_2(\beta+\sigma n_1)(f(n_1+1,n_2-1)-f(n_1,n_2)) \; . \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Here we include independent random walkers for the choice of the parameters $\beta = 1$, $\sigma = 0$, the symmetric inclusion process SIP(α) for $\beta = \alpha$, $\sigma = 1$, and the symmetric exclusion process SEP(γ) for $\beta = \gamma$.

Defining the generating function for $f(n_1, n_2)$ via

$$Af(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} f(n_1, n_2) \frac{z_1^{n_1}}{n_1!} \frac{z_2^{n_2}}{n_2!} \ ,$$

we have, due to the special form of the functions u and v,

$$AL^{\beta,\sigma} f(z_1, z_2) = \left(-\beta(z_1 - z_2)(\partial_1 - \partial_2) + \sigma z_1 z_2 (\partial_1 - \partial_2)^2\right) Af(z_1, z_2) \ ,$$

i.e. the generator $L^{\beta,\sigma}$ at the generating funtion level appears in the z-variables as a second order differential operator.

Let us denote by $\mathscr{L}^{\beta,\sigma}$ this differential operator acting on real functions $\hat{f}(z_1, z_2)$, namely

$$\mathscr{L}^{\beta,\sigma} \hat{f}(z_1, z_2) = \left(-\beta(z_1 - z_2)(\partial_1 - \partial_2) + \sigma z_1 z_2 (\partial_1 - \partial_2)^2\right) \hat{f}(z_1, z_2) \ . \quad (55)$$

As already discussed at the beginning of the section for independent random walkers, if $D(k_1, k_2; n_1, n_2) = d(k_1, n_1) d(k_2, n_2)$ is a factorized self-duality function for the generator $L^{\beta,\sigma}$, then, by passing to the generating function, the following function

$$G(k_1, k_2; z_1, z_2) = g(k_1, z_1) g(k_2, z_2) = \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} d(k_1, n_1) d(k_2, n_2) \frac{z_1^{n_1}}{n_1!} \frac{z_2^{n_2}}{n_2!} \quad (56)$$

is a duality function between $L^{\beta,\sigma}$ and $\mathscr{L}^{\beta,\sigma}$.

Actually, we can say more: if $d(k, n)$ is such that for the function G in (56) we have the duality relation

$$L^{\beta,\sigma}_{\text{left}} G = \mathscr{L}^{\beta,\sigma}_{\text{right}} G \ , \quad (57)$$

then $D(k_1, k_2; n_1, n_2)$ defines a self-duality function for the process with generator (54).

Going to generating functions also in the k-variables, we define

$$H(v_1, v_2; z_1, z_2) = h(v_1, z_1) h(v_2, z_2) = \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty} g(k_1, z_1) g(k_2, z_2) \frac{v_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \frac{v_2^{k_2}}{k_2!}$$

and we obtain that $d(k_1, n_1) d(k_2, n_2)$ defines a self-duality for the process with generator (54) if and only if

$$\mathscr{L}^{\beta,\sigma}_{\text{left}} H = \mathscr{L}^{\beta,\sigma}_{\text{right}} H \ . \quad (58)$$

So to verify self-duality for the process with generator $L^{\beta,\sigma}$, one has now the additional choice to verify either relation (57) or (58), beside the original self-duality relation, which in the discrete case is sometimes much more difficult to verify directly.

Moreover, in the case where $\mathscr{L}^{\beta,\sigma}$ generates a process, either deterministic or diffusion, i.e. when $\sigma \geq 0$, (58) is itself a self-duality relation. Hence, self-duality of the discrete process corresponds to self-duality of the corresponding continuous process when passing to generating functions.

We can then summarize our findings in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Recall the notion of (self-)duality for operators in* (2)*. Then the following three statements are equivalent:*

(i) The function $d(k,n)$ is a single-site self-duality function for the process with generator $L^{\beta,\sigma}$.

(ii) The analytic function $g(k,z)$ with series expansion w.r.t. z given by

$$g(k,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(k,n)\frac{z^n}{n!}$$

is a single-site self-duality function for duality between the operators $L^{\beta,\sigma}$ and $\mathscr{L}^{\beta,\sigma}$.

(iii) The analytic function $h(v,z)$ w.r.t. v and z defined as

$$h(v,z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(k,n)\frac{z^n}{n!}\frac{v^k}{k!}$$

is a single-site self-duality function for the operator $\mathscr{L}^{\beta,\sigma}$.

Remark 5.1. *In this approach we never mention any requirements neither on the particular form of the stationary measures nor on the form of $d(0,n)$, namely condition* [D1]*. However, while the whole argument is indeed independent of this last assumption, the product form of the stationary measure with marginals ν_λ as in* (20) *is a direct consequence of the special choice of u and v.*

In what follows, we present some new results and applications of this machinery.

Before proceeding, we note that the operator $\mathscr{L}^{\beta,\sigma}$ in (55) is of interest for us for the particular choices of parameters $\beta = 1$, $\sigma = 0$ and $\beta = \alpha > 0$, $\sigma = 1$. In the first case, $\mathscr{L}^{\beta,\sigma}$ describes the evolution of a deterministic

system of the continuous variables (z_1, z_2); in the second case, $\mathscr{L}^{\beta,\sigma}$ is the generator of the BEP(α) process. We also note that both processes also appear as the *scaling limits* of independent random walkers - in the first case - and symmetric inclusion process SIP(α) - in the second case - if one starts from $n_1 = \lfloor z_1 N \rfloor$, $n_2 = \lfloor z_2 N \rfloor$, and considers the limit of the process $(n_1(t), n_2(t))/N$ as $N \to \infty$, cf. [6].

5.2 Generating functions of single-site self-duality functions

In this section, we implement the result in Theorem 5.1 to prove directly that all candidate single-site self-duality functions identified in Section 4.3 are indeed single-site self-duality functions. In fact, when we introduced them, we started from the assumption of existence of a factorizable self-duality function with property [D1] and derived all its possible forms. Here, the effort of proving the actual "self-duality identity" can be checked at the level of generating functions.

More precisely, if $L^{\beta,\sigma}$ and $\mathscr{L}^{\beta,\sigma}$ are the generators introduced above, if we refer to the definition (46) of $d(k,n)$, $g(k,z)$ and $h(v,z)$ and call

$$\begin{aligned} D(k_1,k_2;n_1,n_2) &= d(k_1,n_1)d(k_2,n_2) \ , \\ G(k_2,k_2;z_1,z_2) &= g(k_1,z_1)g(k_2,z_2) \ , \\ H(v_1,v_2;z_1,z_2) &= h(v_1,z_1)h(v_2,z_2) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

then the following (self-)duality statements are equivalent:

$$L^{\beta,\sigma}_{\text{left}} D = L^{\beta,\sigma}_{\text{right}} D \tag{60}$$

$$L^{\beta,\sigma}_{\text{left}} G = \mathscr{L}^{\beta,\sigma}_{\text{right}} G \tag{61}$$

$$\mathscr{L}^{\beta,\sigma}_{\text{left}} H = \mathscr{L}^{\beta,\sigma}_{\text{right}} H \ . \tag{62}$$

As a first step, by starting from the candidate single-site self-duality functions $d(k,n)$ derived in Section 4.3, we write down explicitly the corresponding first and second-order generating functions. We refer here mainly to the tables in Ch. 9, [7].

Moreover, from Remark 5.1, beside the polynomials expressed in Section 4.3, we also include here the single-site self-duality functions $d(k,n)$ of the form $d(k,n) = \frac{c}{\nu_\lambda}\delta_{k,n}$ with c a constant, ν_λ denoting the marginal of the reversible stationary measure and $\delta_{k,n}$ the Kronecker delta function, i.e. the single-site self-duality functions associated to the cheap self-duality function.

We present the results for IRW and SIP(α); the SEP(γ)-case is less relevant and follows the same lines of the SIP(α), with the important difference that $\mathscr{L}^{\beta,\sigma}$ is not the generator of any Markov process.

Independent random walkers. We recall that for IRW we choose $\beta = 1$ and $\sigma = 0$. Moreover $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \cdot b < 0$.

	$d(k,n)$	$g(k,z)$	$h(v,z)$
Classical polynomials	$\frac{n!}{(n-k)!} b^k$	$e^z (bz)^k$	e^{z+bv}
Orthogonal polynomials	$a^k C_k(n; -\frac{a}{b})$	$e^z (a+bz)^k$	$e^{z+av+bvz}$
Cheap duality functions	$\frac{k!}{\lambda^k}\delta_{k,n}$	$\left(\frac{z}{\lambda}\right)^k$	$e^{vz\lambda^{-1}}$

Symmetric inclusion process. We recall that for SIP(α), we choose $\beta = \alpha$ and $\sigma = 1$. Again $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \cdot b < 0$.

	$d(k,n)$	$g(k,z)$	$h(v,z)$
Cl.	$\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+k)}(b\alpha)^k$	$e^z \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+k)}(b\alpha z)^k$	$e^z {}_0F_1\left[\begin{smallmatrix}-\\ \alpha\end{smallmatrix}; b\alpha v z\right]$
Or.	$a^k \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+k)} M_k(n; \frac{a}{a-b\alpha}, \alpha)$	$e^z a^k \frac{k!\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+k)} L_k(z; \alpha-1, -\frac{b}{a}\alpha)$	$e^{z+av} {}_0F_1\left[\begin{smallmatrix}-\\ \alpha\end{smallmatrix}; b\alpha v z\right]$
Ch.	$\frac{k!}{\lambda^k}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+k)}\delta_{k,n}$	$\left(\frac{z}{\lambda}\right)^k \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+k)}$	${}_0F_1\left[\begin{smallmatrix}-\\ \alpha\end{smallmatrix}; \frac{vz}{\lambda}\right]$

Immediately we note that by going to second-order generating functions $h(v,z)$, for both processes the expressions become simpler and look really alike, differing only by a term e^{z+av} - which, by conservation of the quantities $z_1 + z_2$ and $v_1 + v_2$, is always a single-site self-duality function for the process described by $\mathscr{L}^{\beta,\sigma}$. Henceforth, by passing to the continuous variables, to

prove that the remaining factors are single-site self-duality functions for $\mathscr{L}^{\beta,\sigma}$, i.e. (62) holds, gives at once (60) and (61) for the corresponding functions.

This fact is the content of the two following propositions.

Proposition 5.1. *For any constant c, the function*

$$f(v,z) = e^{cvz}$$

is a single-site self-duality function for the generator $\mathscr{L}^{1,0}$ associated to the scaling limit of an IRW *system.*

Proof. Since $\partial_z f(v,z) = cvf(v,z)$, the self-duality relation reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & -(v_1 - v_2)(cz_1 - cz_2)f(v_1,z_1)f(v_2,z_2) \\ = \; & -(z_1 - z_2)(cv_1 - cv_2)f(v_1,z_1)f(v_2,z_2) \ , \end{aligned}$$

which indeed holds. □

Proposition 5.2. *For any constant c, the function*

$$f(v,z) = {}_0F_1\left[\begin{matrix}-\\ \alpha\end{matrix}; cvz\right]$$

is a single-site duality function for the generator $\mathscr{L}^{\alpha,1}$.

Proof. We use the shortcut for $i = 1, 2$

$$F_i(\alpha) = {}_0F_1\left[\begin{matrix}-\\ \alpha\end{matrix}; cv_iz_i\right] \ .$$

Additionally, we recall a formula for the z_i-derivative of F_i, namely

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}F_i(\alpha) \;=\; \frac{cv_i}{\alpha}F_i(\alpha+1) \ , \tag{63}$$

and a recurrence identity

$$F_i(\alpha+1) \;=\; F_i(\alpha) - \frac{cv_iz_i}{\alpha(\alpha+1)}F_i(\alpha+2) \ . \tag{64}$$

Hence, the self-duality relation (62) for $\mathscr{L}^{\alpha,1}$ w.r.t. the function $F_1(\alpha)F_2(\alpha)$ rewrites by using (63)

$$\begin{aligned} & cz_1v_2F_1(\alpha+1)F_2(\alpha) + cv_1z_2F_1(\alpha)F_2(\alpha+1) \\ + \; & \frac{c^2(v_1z_1)(v_2z_1)}{\alpha(\alpha+1)}F_1(\alpha+2)F_2(\alpha) + \frac{c^2(v_1z_2)(v_2z_2)}{\alpha(\alpha+1)}F_1(\alpha)F_2(\alpha+2) \\ = \; & cv_1z_2F_1(\alpha+1)F_2(\alpha) + cv_2z_1F_1(\alpha)F_2(\alpha+1) \\ + \; & \frac{c^2(v_1z_1)(v_1z_2)}{\alpha(\alpha+1)}F_1(\alpha+2)F_2(\alpha) + \frac{c^2(z_1v_2)(z_2v_2)}{\alpha(\alpha+1)}F_1(\alpha)F_2(\alpha+2) \ . \end{aligned}$$

By substituting (64), the identity holds. □

We end by stating in a compact form all self-duality relations for the particle systems obtained so far. The proof is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.1 and Propositions 5.1-5.2.

Theorem 5.2. *Recall definitions* (46) *and* (59) *and consider* $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \cdot b < 0$. *Then the functions* $d(k,n) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} b^k$, $d(k,n) = a^k C_k(n; -\frac{a}{b})$ *and* $d(k,n) = \frac{k!}{\lambda^k}\delta_{k,n}$ *are single-site self-duality functions for independent random walkers* IRW. *Similarly, the functions* $d(k,n) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(n+k)}(b\alpha)^k$, $d(k,n) = a^k \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+k)} M_k(n; \frac{a}{a-b\alpha}, \alpha)$ *and* $d(k,n) = \frac{k!}{\lambda^k}\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+k}\delta_{k,n}$ *are single-site self-duality functions for the symmetric inclusion process* SIP(α).

5.3 Self-duality for continuous processes

We conclude by focusing our attention on a consequence of Propositions 5.1-5.2: the generating function approach provides a road to find self-duality functions for processes in continuous variables, such as BEP(α).

This result has never been obtained before with the symmetry method outlined in [6]. In fact, this general procedure consists of starting from a cheap self-duality function which is related to the reversible product measure, and then act with symmetries (usually of exponential form so that they factorize over sites) on this cheap duality function in order to produce a non-trivial self-duality function.

This road is somewhat problematic in the continuum, both because the cheap duality function, which in the discrete context is given by $D(\xi,\eta) = \frac{1}{\nu(\xi)}\delta_{\xi,\eta}$, has to be interpreted in the sense of distributions, and next because acting with operators related to the symmetries of the generator on this distribution has to be defined properly, e.g. via a Cauchy problem or via the transition probability density of a Markovian semigroup.

We can now avoid this problem by going to the equivalent formulations of self-duality via the generating function approach. In particular, just noting that $\mathscr{L}^{\alpha,1}$ is nothing but the BEP(α) generator L in (10), Proposition 5.2 leads to the following result.

Theorem 5.3 (Self-duality of BEP(α))**.** *Let* L *be the generator of* BEP(α) *for* $\alpha > 0$. *Then the function* $H(v_1, v_2; z_1, z_2) = h(v_1, z_1)h(v_2, z_2)$ *with*

$$h(v,z) \;=\; e^{rv+sz}\,{}_0F_1\left[\begin{matrix}-\\ \alpha\end{matrix}; tvz\right], \tag{65}$$

for constants $r, s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, is a factorized self-duality function for the process with generator L.

Proof. By simply noting that the function $e^{r(v_1+v_2)+s(z_1+z_2)}$ is such that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\text{left}} e^{r(v_1+v_2)+s(z_1+z_2)} \hat{H} &= e^{r(v_1+v_2)+s(z_1+z_2)} L_{\text{left}} \hat{H} \\ L_{\text{right}} e^{r(v_1+v_2)+s(z_1+z_2)} \hat{H} &= e^{r(v_1+v_2)+s(z_1+z_2)} L_{\text{right}} \hat{H} \end{aligned}$$

for each $\hat{H}(v_1, v_2; z_1, z_2)$, via Proposition 5.2 the result follows at once. □

As a final remark, we recall that the Hypergeometric function ${}_0F_1\left[\begin{smallmatrix} - \\ \alpha \end{smallmatrix}; tvz\right]$ has an alternative representation in terms of the Bessel function $J_\nu(a)$ of the first kind [7], i.e.

$${}_0F_1\left[\begin{matrix} - \\ \alpha \end{matrix}; tvz\right] = J_{\alpha-1}(2\sqrt{-tvz})\Gamma(\alpha)\,(-tvz)^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \ .$$

In conclusion, we state an analogous result for the scaling limit of independent random walkers.

Theorem 5.4 (Self-duality of scaling limit of IRW)**.** *Let $L = -(z_1 - z_2)(\partial_1 - \partial_2)$ be the first-order differential operator. Then the function $H(v_1, v_2; z_1, z_2) = h(v_1, z_1)h(v_2, z_2)$ with*

$$h(v, z) = e^{rv+sz+tvz} \ , \tag{66}$$

for $r, s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, is a factorized self-duality function for L.

Acknowledgments

F. Redig thanks Cristian Giardinà and Gioia Carinci for precious discussions; F. Sau acknowledges NWO for financial support via the TOP1 grant 613.001.552.

References

[1] Carinci, Gioia; Giardinà, Cristian; Giberti, Claudio; Redig, Frank, Duality for stochastic model of transport, *J. Stat. Phys.*, Vol. 152 (2013), no. 4, pp. 657-697.

[2] De Masi, Anna; Presutti, Enrico, Probability estimates for symmetric simple exclusion random walks, *Ann. Inst. Poincarè*, Vol. 19, Issue 1, (1983), pp. 71-85.

[3] Ferrari, P. A.; Presutti, E.; Scacciatelli, E.; Vares, M. E., The symmetric simple exclusion process. I. Probability estimates. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, Vol. 39, (1991), no. 1, pp. 89-105.

[4] Flajolet, Philippe; Sedgewick, Robert, *Analytic combinatorics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.

[5] Franceschini, Chiara; Giardinà, Cristian, Stochastic Duality and Orthogonal Polynomials, Preprint 2016.

[6] Giardinà, Cristian; Kurchan, Jorge; Redig, Frank; Vafayi, Kiamars, Duality and hidden symmetries in interacting particle systems. *J. Stat. Phys.*, Vol. 135, (2009), no. 1, pp. 25-55.

[7] Koekoek, Roelof; Lesky, Peter A.; Swarttouw, René F., *Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their q-analogues*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.

[8] Kuoch, Kevin; Redig, Frank, Ergodic theory of the symmetric inclusion process, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, Vol. 126, (2016), no. 11, pp. 3480-3498.

[9] Liggett, Thomas M., *Interacting particle systems*, Springer, 1985.

[10] Nikiforov, A. F.; Suslov, S. K.; Uvarov, V. B., *Classical Orthogonal Polynomials of a Discrete Variable*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.